UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

CHRISTOPHER M. LAWSON,)		
)		
Petitioner,)		
)		
v.)	Nos.	3:14-CR-2-RLJ-CCS-14
)		3:16-CV-372-RLJ
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
)		
Respondent.)		

ORDER

On June 23, 2016, Petitioner filed a motion to vacate, set aside, or correct his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 [Doc. 1018]. The petition challenges the propriety of his career offender designation under Section 4B1.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Johnson v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015) [*Id.*]. The request relies on *Pawlak v. United States*—in which the Sixth Circuit cited *Johnson*'s invalidation of the Armed Career Criminal Act's ("ACCA") residual clause as the basis for invalidating Section 4B1.2(a)(2)'s parallel provision, 822 F.3d 902, 911 (6th Cir. 2016) [*Id.* (suggesting his Tennessee aggravated burglary conviction is no longer a crime of violence)].

On August 10, 2016, the United States responded with the suggestion that Petitioner's entitlement to collateral relief depends on whether or not *Johnson*'s impact on the Guidelines has been made retroactively applicable to cases raised in the context of collateral review [Doc. 1030 p. 2]. Noting that the Supreme Court has agreed to address this very issue next term in *Beckles v*.

On February 11, 2016, Federal Defender Services of Eastern Tennessee ("FDSET") was appointed for the limited purpose of reviewing the case to determine whether or not Petitioner is eligible for collateral relief based on *Johnson*. *See* E.D. Tenn. SO-16-02 (Feb. 11, 2016). Consistent with that appointment, FDSET filed the instant petition [Doc. 1018]. Petitioner followed that filing with a pro se petition asserting the same claim [Doc. 1019].

United States, No. 15-8544, 2016 WL 1029080 (U.S. June 27, 2016), the United States requests that the Court stay its resolution of the instant petition pending that decision [*Id.* at 2–3].

In a recent published opinion, the Sixth Circuit recognized that "it is not clear whether to treat Pawlak as a new rule that the Supreme Court has not yet made retroactive [to cases on collateral review] or as a rule dictated by *Johnson* that the Supreme Court has made retroactive." In re Embry, No. 16-5447, 2016 WL 4056056, at *1 (6th Cir. July 29, 2016). While district courts are generally responsible for deciding, in the first instance, whether "Pawlak is a new rule or not," Id. at *4, multiple Sixth Circuit opinions have endorsed holding petitions similar to the instant one "in abeyance pending the Supreme Court's decision in Beckles," Id. at *4; see also In re Patrick, No. 16-5353, 2016 WL 4254929, at *4 (6th Cir. Aug. 12, 2016). The justification for doing so is that, "[a]fter . . . [Beckles], the district courts will be well positioned to handle [Johnson-based challenges to the Guidelines] fairly and efficiently." In re Embry, 2016 WL 4056056, at *4. The propriety of such a stay is further reinforced by the fact that at least two panels from the Sixth Circuit have adopted contrasting predictions for what the Beckles decision will hold. Compare Id. at *4 ("If pressed to decide the question now, we would lean in favor of saying that Pawlak.... rests on a new rule of constitutional law that the Supreme Court has not recognized, much less made retroactive on collateral review."), with In re Patrick, 2016 WL 4254929, at *3 ("The Supreme Court's rationale in Welch for finding Johnson retroactive [in the ACCA context] applies equally to the Guidelines.").

For the reasons discussed, the Court agrees that issuance of a stay is appropriate under the circumstances. Accordingly, United States' request [Doc. 1030] is **GRANTED** and the action [E.D. Tenn. Case No. 3:16-CV-372-RLJ] is **STAYED** pending the Supreme Court's decision in

Beckles. The parties are **DIRECTED** to file a joint status report within **thirty (30) days** of that decision.

IT	TC	SO		n	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}$	ED	
11	10	30	UL	w	\mathbf{cn}	LU	_

ENTER:
s/ Leon Jordan
United States District Judge